

1 Laura Vartain Horn (SBN 258485)  
 2 **KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP**  
 3 555 California Street, Suite 2700  
 4 San Francisco, CA 94104  
 5 Telephone: (415) 439-1625  
 6 laura.vartain@kirkland.com

7 Allison M. Brown (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)  
 8 **KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP**  
 9 2005 Market Street, Suite 1000  
 10 Philadelphia, PA 19103  
 11 Telephone: (215) 268-5000  
 12 alli.brown@kirkland.com

13 Jessica Davidson (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)  
 14 **KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP**  
 15 601 Lexington Avenue  
 16 New York, NY 10022  
 17 Telephone: (212) 446-4800  
 18 jessica.davidson@kirkland.com

19 *Attorneys for Uber*

20 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., RASIER, LLC,  
 21 And RASIER-CA, LLC

22  
 23  
 24  
 25  
 26  
 27  
 28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
**SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
 PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT  
 LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ALL ACTIONS

Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB (LJC)

**DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE  
 MOTION TO SEAL PERSONAL  
 IDENTIFYING INFORMATION  
 CONTAINED IN DEFENDANTS' REPLY IN  
 SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR ENTRY OF  
 SECOND RECEIPTS ORDER AND  
 ACCOMPANYING DOCUMENTS**

Judge: Hon. Charles R. Breyer  
 Courtroom: 6 – 17th Floor

1                   **DEFENDANTS' STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF SEALING CONFIDENTIAL MATERIALS**

2                   Under Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5, Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc., Rasier, LLC, and Rasier-  
 3 CA, LLC (“Uber” or “Defendants”) hereby move this Court for an order to seal the personal identifying  
 4 information (“PII”) contained in their Reply in Support of Motion for Entry of an Order to Show Cause  
 5 Why 6 Plaintiffs Who Have Submitted Non-Bona Fide Receipts Should Not Be Dismissed with Prejudice,  
 6 including all attached exhibits and declarations (altogether, the “Reply”). The Reply contains PII from  
 7 materials produced by both Uber and one Plaintiff. The Reply is attached as Exhibit A, filed concurrently  
 8 to this Administrative Motion.

9                   A party seeking to seal a judicial record bears the burden of establishing that “compelling reasons”  
 10 support that request. *Kamakana v. City & Cnty. of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178–79 (9th Cir. 2006).  
 11 Specifically, that party must “articulate[] compelling reasons supported by specific factual findings ...  
 12 that outweigh the general history of access and the public policies favoring disclosure [of court records],  
 13 such as the ‘public interest in understanding the judicial process.’” *Id.* (quoting *Foltz v. State Farm Mut.*  
 14 *Auto. Ins. Co.*, 331 F.3d 1122, 1135 (9th Cir. 2003)). “In turn, the [C]ourt must ‘conscientiously balance[]  
 15 the competing interests’ of the public and the party who seeks to keep certain judicial records secret.” *Id.*  
 16 at 1179 (quoting *Foltz*, 331 F.3d at 1135).

17                   Assessment of the public and private interests implicated here warrant sealing this information.  
 18 Local Rule 79-5(c)(1)(i). Uber in particular seeks to seal the names of a Plaintiff and one other individual  
 19 contained throughout the Reply, as well as home and other addresses,<sup>1</sup> contact information such as phone  
 20 numbers and email addresses, financial information such as credit card number, and information including  
 21 a Plaintiff’s birth date. Courts have found that such information “is sealable under the compelling reasons  
 22 standard.” *See, e.g., Kumandan v. Google LLC*, No. 19-cv-04286-BLF, 2022 WL 17971633, at \*1 (N.D.  
 23 Cal. Nov. 17, 2022). That is because such information is “not relevant to any of the issues in this litigation,  
 24 nor would the public have any real interest in its disclosure.” *O’Connor v. Uber Techs., Inc.*, No. C-13-

25  
 26                   <sup>1</sup> Uber proposes redacting all addresses, because Uber is not able to assess which addresses are  
 27 potentially personally identifying.

1 3826 EMC, 2015 WL 355496, at \*3 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 27, 2015). On the other hand, the public disclosure  
2 of the PII contained within the Reply could cause significant and avoidable harm or embarrassment to the  
3 affected individuals. Moreover, no less restrictive alternative to sealing the PII in the Reply is sufficient.  
4 Local Rule 79-5(c)(1)(iii). Uber's request is narrowly tailored to seal only the PII while ensuring that the  
5 public retains access to the rest of the Reply. This Court may therefore "appropriately balance[]"  
6 the privacy interests of the affected individuals with the public's right to access by allowing redaction of all  
7 such PII in the Motion. *O'Connor*, 2015 WL 355496, at \*2.

8 **CONCLUSION**

9 For the foregoing reasons, Uber respectfully requests this Court grant this Administrative Motion  
10 to seal the personal identifying information, specifically the names, addresses, financial information,  
11 contact information, and birth date information, contained in the Reply.

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

1 DATED: September 18, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

2 */s/ Laura Vartain Horn*3  
4 Laura Vartain Horn (SBN 258485)  
5 **KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP**  
6 555 California Street, Suite 2700  
7 San Francisco, CA 94104  
8 Telephone: (415) 439-1625  
9 laura.vartain@kirkland.com10  
11 Allison M. Brown (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)  
12 **KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP**  
13 2005 Market Street, Suite 1000  
14 Philadelphia, PA 19103  
15 Telephone: (215) 268-5000  
16 alli.brown@kirkland.com17  
18 Jessica Davidson (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)  
19 **KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP**  
20 601 Lexington Avenue  
21 New York, NY 10022  
22 Telephone: (212) 446-4800  
23 jessica.davidson@kirkland.com24  
25 SABRINA H. STRONG (SBN: 200292)  
26 sstrong@omm.com  
27 JONATHAN SCHNELLER (SBN: 291288)  
28 jschneller@omm.com  
29 **O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP**  
30 400 South Hope Street, 19th Floor  
31 Los Angeles, CA 90071  
32 Telephone: (213) 430-6000  
33 Facsimile: (213) 430-640734  
35 PATRICK L. OOT (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
36 oot@shb.com  
37 **SHOOK, HARDY & BACON, LLP**  
38 1800 K Street NW, 10th Floor  
39 Washington, DC 20006  
40 Telephone: (202) 783-8400  
41 Facsimile: (202) 783-421142  
43 ALYCIA A. DEGEN (SBN: 211350)  
44 adegen@shb.com  
45 MICHAEL B. SHORTNACY (SBN: 277035)  
46 mshortnacy@shb.com

**SHOOK, HARDY & BACON, LLP**  
2121 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400  
Los Angeles, CA 90067  
Telephone: (424) 285-8330  
Facsimile: (424) 204-9093

CHRISTOPHER V. COTTON (*Pro Hac Vice*) [ccotton@shb.com](mailto:ccotton@shb.com)  
**SHOOK, HARDY & BACON, LLP**  
255 Grand Boulevard  
Kansas City, MO 64108  
Telephone: (816) 474-6550  
Facsimile: (816) 421-5547

*Counsel for Defendants*  
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
RASIER, LLC, and RASIER-CA, LLC

*Attorneys for Uber*  
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
RASIER,LLC, And RASIER-CA, LLC

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on September 18, 2025, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will automatically send notification of the filing to all counsel of record.

By: /s/ Laura Vartain Horn  
Laura Vartain Horn